

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Case No. 10-3374 SC
Plaintiff,)
v.) ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S
APPROXIMATELY \$693,522.14 IN) MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
Funds from account ending in)
8892 held in the name of)
Emirates NBD at JPMorgan Chase)
Bank,)
Defendant.)

First Republic Bank, and)
Emirates NBD Bank,)
Claimants.)

Plaintiff United States of America ("Plaintiff") commenced this in rem action, seeking forfeiture under 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and (k) of \$693,522.14 held in the name of Emirates NBD at JPMorgan Chase Bank. ECF No. 1 ("Compl."). Plaintiff filed a Motion for Summary Judgment, ECF No. 29 ("Mot."), and Claimants First Republic Bank ("First Republic") and Emirates NBD Bank ("Emirates Bank") (collectively, "Claimants") filed Statements of Non-Opposition. ECF Nos. 35, 36. On March 15, 2011, the Court deferred ruling on the Motion out of concern that Claimants' non-

1 opposition was predicated on the Court issuing a detailed order,
2 and the Court gave Claimants ten days to register their unqualified
3 non-opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment. ECF No.
4 38. First Republic and Emirates Bank have since filed unambiguous
5 Statements of Non-Opposition. ECF Nos. 39, 40.

6 Accordingly, the Court GRANTS Plaintiff United States' Motion.
7 The Court finds, as a matter of law, that Plaintiff is entitled to
8 summary judgment forfeiting defendant \$693,522.14 to the United
9 States, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 981(a)(1)(C) and (k). The Court
10 will enter a separate judgment.

11
12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13
14 Dated: April 13, 2011


15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE